1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	PUBLIC MEETING
9	THURSDAY, APRIL 25, 2019 6:03 P.M 7:24 P.M.
1.0	KARNACK COMMUNITY CENTER
10	KARNACK, TEXAS
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (The following transcript contains 2 questions posed during presentation, by 3 individuals present at public meeting.) 4 MR. GEORGE RICE: My name is George Rice. 5 Looking at the figure against the wall 6 that shows the plumes in the Shallow Zone --7 MR. GREGORY KELLY: Uh-huh. 8 MR. GEORGE RICE: -- it also shows an 9 area for monitored natural attenuation. 10 MR. GREGORY KELLY: Yes, sir. 11 MR. GEORGE RICE: But that doesn't cover 12 the -- all the plumes are not covered by that MNA area. 13 Could you explain why? 14 MR. GREGORY KELLY: We'll actually get to 15 that a little bit further down in the slides here; but, 16 yeah, that's -- the reason that that isn't part of the 17 MNA is because those concentrations in the wells there 18 have been shown to either be steady or decreasing over 19 There's kind of this trend going on. That's all time. 20 upgradient of where the contamination is. So, 21 historically, those concentrations haven't been 22 increasing because everything's flowing out towards the 23 bayou, so those would -- and this wouldn't come in the 24 remedial design phase. 25 But what would occur for MNA is you

1 select certain wells that you want to monitor for 2 monitored natural attenuation parameters. Those -- some 3 of those wells that are not in that boundary might 4 actually be part of the sampling program for that, but they're -- we're really looking for the area that is in 5 6 gray; we're looking for those contaminants to be 7 dropping -- concentrations dropping over time. 8 The upgradient ones, we're -- we're not 9 seeing any increases in concentrations, so that's why 10 that MNA boundary looks like that. 11 MR. GEORGE RICE: Thank you. 12 MR. GREGORY KELLY: Does that answer your 13 question? 14 A lot of this comes down to the -- a lot 15 of this gets decided in the remedial design phase, so 16 this is kind of the 10,000-foot look at what your 17 remediation alternative is, and then you really get into 18 the nitty-gritty of it, the alternative design phase. 19 MR. GEORGE RICE: Thank you. 20 (Presentation continued, during which the 21 following question was posed:) 22 MS. JUDY VANDEVENTER: Judy Vandeventer. 23 Why weren't we given a copy of these 24 slides tonight? 25 DR. ROSE ZEILER: Why weren't you?

1	MS. JUDY VANDEVENTER: Uh-huh.					
2	DR. ROSE ZEILER: Because tonight's the					
3	presentation. I'm sure you can have are we going to					
4	post those to the website?					
5	MR. GREGORY KELLY: We can					
6	DR. ROSE ZEILER: Because it takes us					
7	time to prepare all this.					
8	MS. JUDY VANDEVENTER: Well, couldn't we					
9	have had a copy of the slides, though, that he?					
10	DR. ROSE ZEILER: Well, I suppose you					
11	could have. We can do that or we can load them up to					
12	the website. Would that work?					
13	MS. JUDY VANDEVENTER: Uh-huh.					
14	DR. ROSE ZEILER: Okay.					
15	MS. JUDY VANDEVENTER: But then I have					
16	DR. ROSE ZEILER: We can send her a copy.					
17	MS. JUDY VANDEVENTER: I want to be sent					
18	a copy than to have to print it out.					
19	DR. ROSE ZEILER: Right, I understand					
20	that. Okay, we'll do that.					
21	Anyone else?					
22	Did you want a set, too, George,					
23	Mr. Rice? Does anyone else want set of the slides?					
24	Okay.					
25	Okay. Did you get Judy Vandeventer's					
22 23 24	Did you want a set, too, George, Mr. Rice? Does anyone else want set of the slides? Okay.					

1 name? Larry. 2 And her -- we have her address, mailing 3 address, so we can just send it to her. 4 Okay, we'll get that done, Judy. 5 MS. JUDY VANDEVENTER: Thank you. 6 DR. ROSE ZEILER: All right. 7 George? 8 MR. GEORGE RICE: Yes, George Rice. 9 I have a question and then a brief

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comment. My question has to do with where you intend to use EISD. You said that was inside and outside the containment area.

MR. GREGORY KELLY: Yes, sir.

MR. GEORGE RICE: On your maps here and the ones you've shown, to me they all seem to be inside the containment area.

MR. GREGORY KELLY: Yeah. They are, actually, outside the linear. So this row here, here, here, here, and here, those were the injection areas. And, again, this is the very first phase of that, so for the remedial design phase, may get shifted. This is kind of the preliminary locations of the injection points in the remedial design phase. That will get refined, so those borders or those linear injection lines could -- could be changed to better address the

1 contamination.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. GEORGE RICE: Thank you.

MR. GREGORY KELLY: Uh-huh.

MR. GEORGE RICE: And I thought -- and so a quick comment. I have -- I've read the proposed plan, and I've also read that other document, the one associated with the site, and I've come to some preliminary conclusions about it.

Now, when I evaluate these kind of plans, I try to answer three -- there are three questions I try to answer. First is, have all the contaminants been identified; the second is, has the extent of contamination been determined -- that's both horizontally and vertically -- and, finally, if the proposed plan is implemented, is it likely to clean up contaminants in a reasonable amount of time. And my initial answers to all three of those questions is yes. I think that you've identified all the contaminants; you've identified the extent; and as far as the cleanup plan working, I am concerned mostly with DNAPL, because we all -- for those of you who have been involved, you know that DNAPLs are probably the most difficult thing to clean up that we deal with.

And this technology that you plan to use is new to me; but I've done a little research on it, and

1 I went looking for examples where the technology didn't 2 work, but I was unable to find an example where it 3 didn't work. It might be out there; but in all the 4 cases I've looked at, have worked, so I think it's quite 5 promising. 6 Now, I do have one criticism, though, and 7 that has to do with metals. You know, you've mentioned 8 the fact that metals are present in groundwater, 9 including arsenic and chromium; but nowhere in any of 10 the documents I've looked at does the Army explicitly 11 say "This is how we're going to clean up the metal" or 12 do they say, alternatively, like "We don't need to clean 13 up the metals in this one," you know. I think that we 14 need more explanation of what you intend to do, if 15 anything, about the metal. Other than that, yeah, I think it's --16 17 it's a good plan. It's a reasonable plan. 18 DR. ROSE ZEILER: Thank you. 19 MR. GREGORY KELLY: Thank you. 20 Any more comments? Questions? 21 Discussion? 22 (No response in the affirmative.) 23 MR. GREGORY KELLY: Okay. Well, I quess 24 that's a wrap. 25 DR. ROSE ZEILER: All right. Thank you

```
1
     very much.
                       (Meeting adjourned, 7:24 p.m.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

THE STATE OF TEXAS:

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, AMANDA J. LEIGH, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do hereby
certify that the foregoing 8 pages contain a true and
correct transcription of all portions of the public
meeting requested to be taken down stenographically at
the time of the public meeting and transcribed
thereafter to the best of my skill and ability.

Certified to by me this 25th day of May, 2019.



/S/ Amanda J. Leigh

AMANDA J. LEIGH, Texas CSR 3791
Expiration: January 31, 2021
LEIGH & ASSOCIATES
COURT REPORTING AND VIDEO
911 West Loop 281, Suite 211

Longview, Texas 75604

	-	-	•	
A	cleanup 6:19	dropping 3:7,7	H	locations 5:22
	come 2:23 6:7			Longview 9:18
ability 9:11	comes 3:14	E	historically 2:21	look 3:16
address 5:2,3,25	comment 5:10	EISD 5:11	horizontally	looked 7:4,10
adjourned 8:2	6:5	either 2:18	6:13	looking 2:5 3:5,6
affirmative 7:22	comments 7:20	evaluate 6:9		7:1
alternative 3:17	COMMUNITY	everything's	1 1 4'6' 16 10	looks 3:10
3:18	1:9	2:22	identified 6:12	
alternatively		example 7:2	6:18,19	Loop 9:18
7:12	concentrations	example 7.2	implemented	lot 3:14,14
Amanda 9:5,15	2:17,21 3:7,9	Expiration 9:16	6:15	<u>M</u>
9:16	concerned 6:20	_	including 7:9	
amount 6:16	conclusions 6:8	explain 2:13	increases 3:9	mailing 5:2
answer 3:12	contain 9:7	explanation 7:14	increasing 2:22	maps 5:14
6:10,11	containment		individuals 2:3	meeting 1:8 2:3
answers 6:16	5:12,16	explicitly 7:10	initial 6:16	8:2 9:9,10
APRIL 1:8	contains 2:1	extent 6:12,19	injection 5:19,22	mentioned 7:7
area 2:9,12 3:5	contaminants		5:24	metal 7:11,15
5:12,16	3:6 6:11,15,18		inside 5:11,15	metals 7:7,8,13
areas 5:19	contamination	fact 7:8	intend 5:10 7:14	MNA 2:12,17,25
Army 7:10	2:20 6:1,13	far 6:19	involved 6:21	3:10
arsenic 7:9	continued 3:20	figure 2:5		monitor 3:1
associated 6:7	copy 3:23 4:9,16	finally 6:14	J	monitored 2:9
ASSOCIATES	4:18	find 7:2	J 9:5,15,16	3:2
9:17	correct 9:8	first 5:20 6:11	January 9:16	
attenuation 2:9	COURT 9:17	flowing 2:22	Judy 3:22,22 4:1	N
3:2	cover 2:11	following 2:1	4:8,13,15,17	name 2:4 5:1
3.2	covered 2:12	3:21	4:25 5:4,5	natural 2:9 3:2
<u> </u>	criticism 7:6	foregoing 9:7		need 7:12,14
bayou 2:23	CSR 9:16	further 2:15	K	new 6:25
best 9:11			KARNACK 1:9	nitty-gritty 3:18
better 5:25	D	<u>G</u>	1:10	
bit 2:15	day 9:12	George 2:4,4,8	KELLY 2:7,10	0
borders 5:24	deal 6:23	2:11 3:11,19	2:14 3:12 4:5	occur 2:25
boundary 3:3,10	decided 3:15	4:22 5:7,8,8,14	5:13,17 6:3	Okay 4:14,20,24
brief 5:9	decreasing 2:18	6:2,4	7:19,23	4:25 5:4 7:23
01161 3.9	design 2:24 3:15	given 3:23	kind 2:19 3:16	ones 3:8 5:15
C	3:18 5:21,23	going 2:19 4:3	5:22 6:9	outside 5:11,18
cases 7:4	determinedt	7:11	know 6:21 7:7	
CENTER 1:9	6:13	good 7:17	7:13	<u> </u>
certain 3:1	difficult 6:22	gray 3:6		p.m 1:9,9 8:2
CERTIFICATE	Discussion 7:21	GREGORY 2:7	L	pages 9:7
9:3	DNAPL 6:20	2:10,14 3:12	Larry 5:1	parameters 3:2
Certified 9:5,12	DNAPLs 6:22	4:5 5:13,17 6:3	Leigh 9:5,15,16	part 2:16 3:4
	document 6:6	7:19,23	9:17	phase 2:24 3:15
certify 9:7	documents 7:10	groundwater	linear 5:18,24	3:18 5:20,21
changed 5:25	DR 3:25 4:2,6,10	້7:8	lines 5:25	5:23
chromium 7:9	4:14,16,19 5:6	guess 7:23	little 2:15 6:25	plan 6:5,14,19
clean 6:15,23	7:18,25		load 4:11	6:24 7:17,17
7:11,12	1.10,43			

mlans (.0	2.11 10 4.22	4h:1-6.17.7.4		
plans 6:9	3:11,19 4:23	think 6:17 7:4	weren't 3:23,25	8
plumes 2:6,12	5:8,8,14 6:2,4	7:13,16	West 9:18	8 9:7
points 5:23	right 4:19 5:6	thought 6:4	work 4:12 7:2,3	9
portions 9:8	7:25	three 6:10,10,17	worked 7:4	
posed 2:2 3:21	ROSE 3:25 4:2	THURSDAY	working 6:20	911 9:18
post 4:4	4:6,10,14,16	1:8	wouldn't 2:23	
preliminary	4:19 5:6 7:18	time 2:19 3:7 4:7	wrap 7:24	
5:22 6:8	7:25	6:16 9:10	<u> X</u>	
prepare 4:7	row 5:18	tonight 3:24		
present 2:3 7:8	S	tonight's 4:2	Y	
presentation 2:2	$\frac{S}{S/9:15}$	transcribed 9:10	yeah 2:16 5:17	
3:20 4:3		transcript 2:1	7:16	
print 4:18	sampling 3:4 second 6:12	transcription	7.10	
probably 6:22		9:8	\mathbf{Z}	
program 3:4	seeing 3:9 select 3:1	trend 2:19	ZEILER 3:25	
promising 7:5		true 9:7	4:2,6,10,14,16	
proposed 6:5,14	send 4:16 5:3	try 6:10,10	4:19 5:6 7:18	
public 1:8 2:3	sent 4:17	U	7:25	
9:8,10	set 4:22,23	-	Zone 2:6	
Q	Shallow 2:6	Uh-huh 2:7 4:1		
	shifted 5:21	4:13 6:3	0	
question 3:13,21	Shorthand 9:5	unable 7:2		
5:9,10	shown 2:18 5:15	understand 4:19	1	
questions 2:2	shows 2:6,8	upgradient 2:20	10,000-foot 3:16	
6:10,17 7:20	sir 2:10 5:13	3:8		
quick 6:5	site 6:7	use 5:11 6:24	2	
quite 7:4	skill 9:11	\mathbf{V}	2019 1:8 9:12	
R	slides 2:15 3:24	Vandeventer	2021 9:16	
read 6:5,6	4:9,23		211 9:18	
,	State 9:1,6	3:22,22 4:1,8	25 1:8	
really 3:5,17	steady 2:18	4:13,15,17 5:5	25th 9:12	
reason 2:16	stenographica	Vandeventer's 4:25	281 9:18	
reasonable 6:16	9:9			
7:17	Suite 9:18	verticallyand	3	
refined 5:24	suppose 4:10	6:14 VIDEO 0:17	31 9:16	
remedial 2:24	sure 4:3	VIDEO 9:17	3791 9:16	
3:15 5:21,23		\mathbf{W}	4	
remediation		wall 2:5		
3:17	taken 9:9	want 3:1 4:17,22	5	
Reporter 9:6	takes 4:6	4:23		
REPORTER'S	technology 6:24	we'll 2:14 4:20	6	
9:3	7:1	5:4	6:03 1:9	
REPORTING	Texas 1:10 9:1,6	we're 3:5,6,8,8		
9:17	9:16,18	7:11	7	
requested 9:9	Thank 3:11,19	website 4:4,12	7:24 1:9 8:2	
research 6:25	5:5 6:2 7:18,19	wells 2:17 3:1,3	75604 9:18	
response 7:22	7:25	went 7:1		
Rice 2:4,4,8,11	thing 6:22	WEIIL /.1		
	l	l .	l	1